State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

This year, we have updated the report card to provide a full picture of school performance beyond just test scores. A display of this data designed with parents and communities in mind is available on illinoisreportcard.com. All of the metrics posted on illinoisreportcard.com are also included in this report.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/E	THNIC B	ACKGRC	OUND AND (OTHER INF	ORMATIO	N						
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	More	Percent Low- Income	English-	Percent IEP	Percent Homeless	Total Enrollment
District	66.7	9.8	12.4	7.4	0.1	0.2	3.5	26.5	2.0	13.3	0.9	5,015
State	49.3	17.5	25.1	4.6	0.1	0.3	3.1	54.2	10.3	14.1	2.3	2,054,556

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. IEP students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. Total Enrollment is based on <u>Home School</u>.

Homeless students are students who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

RACIAL/	ETHNIC BACK	GROUND AN	D OTHER IN	FORMATION
	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate
District	1.4	9.2	3.3	95.3
State	2.3	8.7	12.0	94.2

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. **Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL	CONTACT*	TOTAL SCHOOL DAYS]	STUDENT-TO	-STAFF RATIOS		
	Percent		Days		Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
District State	95.2 95.2	District State	175 175			18.8 18.4	9.7 11.3	107.9 173.3

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE C	LASS SIZE (as of the fire	st school da	y in May)							
Grades	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall
District State										19.9 19.4	19.9 21.2

19-022-0990-16-0000

TEACHER	INFORMATI	ON (Full -Ti	me Equival	ents)							
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	UnKnowr	Male	Female	Total Number
District	97.7	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	39.5	60.5	326
State	82.5	6.4	5.7	1.4	0.1	0.2	0.8	3.0	23.2	76.8	129,668


TEACHER INFORMATION


		% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Masters's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
District:	All Schools	15.1	84.9	0.0	0.0
	High Poverty Schools				
	Low Poverty Schools	15.1	84.9	0.0	0.0
State:	All Schools	38.4	61.1	0.6	0.8
	High Poverty Schools	41.2	57.5	1.5	3.6
	Low Poverty Schools	31.3	68.6	0.3	0.0

The No Child Left Behind Act requires that information for certain data elements be disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools. Poverty (low-income) is defined on page 1 of all report cards. High- and low-poverty schools include those in the top and bottom quarters of the poverty distribution of schools in the state.

TEACHER R	ETENTION RATE	PRINCIPAL	TURNOVER (Count)
District	93.5	District	2.5
State	85.0	State	1.9

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2013-1	4			
	District	District %	State %	
Local Property Taxes	\$78,100,688	86.6	61.7	
Other Local Funding	\$3,972,514	4.4	4.4	
General State Aid	\$2,102,571	2.3	16.4	
Other State Funding	\$3,854,539	4.3	9.6	
Federal Funding	\$2,206,913	2.4	7.8	
TOTAL	\$90,237,225			

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 20 ⁴	13-14		
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$64,959,851	71.1	73.4
Operations & Maintenance	\$8,428,618	9.2	6.3
Transportation	\$3,213,076	3.5	3.7
Debt Service	\$10,010,290	11.0	8.0
Tort	\$0	0.0	1.2
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$2,872,950	3.1	2.1
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	0.5
Capital Projects	\$1,837,396	2.0	4.7
TOTAL	\$91,322,181		

OTHER FINA	ANCIAL INDICATORS			
	2012 Equalized	2012 Total School	2013-14 Instructional	2013-14 Operating
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil
District	\$853,679	1.92	\$10,522	\$16,314
State	**	**	\$7,419	\$12,521

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils. Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE





The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.

* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. All students whose scores are college reportable, both standard and extended time tests, are now included. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

READY FOR	COLLEGE COURSE WORK	C PERCENT	OF	STUDENTS MI	T ACT BENCHMA	RKS		
District	62.5			English	Math	Read	Scienc	e ALL 4 Subjects
State	45.6	District		75.4	58.9	54.0	49.1	38.0
		State		61.2	39.7	39.3	35.3	24.9
COLLEGE E	NROLLMENT	-		FRE	SHMEN ON TRACK	<	8TH GRADE	RS PASSING ALGEBRA I *
	12 Months	16 Months		District	91.6		District	
District	81.9	84.5	Τ	State	83.4		State	28.4
State	69.6	73.4		Oluce	00.1		Oldic	20.1


* For the 8th graders passing algebra I, if the percentage is 0, it means that an Algebra I course is not offered.


HIGH SCHO		Ger		-		Ra	ice / Ethn	icity						
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District	92.7	91.8	93.5	93.5	90.4	84.8	98.9			93.5	82.5		83.8	84.7
State	85.3	82.5	88.2	90.0	75.2	80.5	93.8			85.0	71.6		70.1	77.7


		Ger	nder		Race / Ethnicity									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District	93.3	91.5	95.3	94.4	87.4	91.0	96.9			88.6	88.2		81.4	86.4
State	87.6	85.2	90.2	91.3	78.9	84.2	95.6			87.9	77.4		74.8	81.1

OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentage of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the PARCC expectations or DLM-AA Standards for your district, and the state.









DLM-AA scores in the Progressing and Attaining performance levels count the same, respectively, as scores on PARCC that met or exceeded expectations.

1



DLM-AA PERFORMANCE

These show the percentage of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on DLM-AA



PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The PARCC is administered to students in grade 3 through 8 and high school. The DLM-AA is administered to students in grade 3 through 8 and 11 with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the PARCC would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCE	NTAGE OF S	TUDENTS N	OT TESTE	D IN STAT	E TESTING	G PROGR	AMS FOR E	LA					_		
			Gei	nder		R	acial/Ethni	c Backgr	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilitie	Disadv
	*Enrollment	1,226	631	595	826	107	157	86	1	4	45	23	0	147	271
District	Reading	8.3	8.4	8.2	9.2	5.6	8.3	0.0			15.6	4.3		10.2	9.2
State	*Enrollment	1,051,652	539,231	512,415	519,458	180,276	267,114	48,403	1,065	3,047	32,282	80,475	208	147,346	562,215
Giale	Reading	4.4	4.5	4.4	3.6	6.4	4.9	3.2	5.4	5.1	4.5	4.0	1.4	6.7	4.8

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and high school.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	NTAGE OF ST	UDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TEST	ING PROG	RAMS FOR	MATHE	MATICS						-
			G	ender			Racial/Eth	nic Back	ground						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiiar /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
	*Enrollment	911	488	423	536	113	167	59	1	2	33	35	0	115	281
District	Mathematics	14.7	16.6	12.5	12.7	20.4	19.2	8.5			18.2	14.3		19.1	19.6
State	*Enrollment	1,030,879	528,251	502,622	503,822	178,769	265,697	47,032	1,068	2,998	31,486	84,312	222	144,711	557,532
Utale	Mathematics	4.4	4.4	4.3	3.4	6.5	5.0	2.8	5.8	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.5	6.6	4.7

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and high school.

Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC)

Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for PARCC assessments:

- •• Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- •• Level 2: Partially met expectations
- •• Level 3: Approached expectations
- •• Level 4: Met expectations
- •• Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers.Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (ELA/L and mathematics), and at each grade level/course. PLDs are available at http://avocet.pearson.com/PARCC/Home.

High School

High School- All

		EL	Α			Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
District	8.0	18.6	27.1	38.4	7.8	9.8	21.0	32.9	35.8	0.5
State	18.6	21.4	25.3	28.1	6.6	21.4	32.6	27.3	18.2	0.5

High Scho	ool - Gender										
			E	LA				Math	ematics	-	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Male	District State	11.1 24.1	23.6 23.5	28.3 24.2	32.0 23.6	5.1 4.6	12.3 23.7	21.9 32.8	32.2 25.4	33.2 17.5	0.5 0.6
Female	District State	4.8 12.8	13.5 19.2	25.9 26.6	45.1 32.7	10.7 8.7	7.1 19.0	20.0 32.5	33.7 29.2	38.6 18.9	0.5 0.3

High School - Racial/Ethnic Background

			EL	Α			Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
White												
	District	5.4	15.9	27.3	42.8	8.6	7.8	16.1	33.8	41.4	0.9	
	State	12.9	18.2	25.8	34.4	8.8	18.3	28.2	29.7	23.2	0.7	
Black												
	District	25.3	30.3	28.3	14.1	2.0	11.4	30.7	42.0	15.9	0.0	
	State	35.2	28.4	22.2	12.9	1.2	31.2	41.3	20.9	6.6	0.0	
Hispanic					/							
	District	14.8	26.8	31.7	26.1	0.7	15.8	30.1	27.8	26.3	0.0	
	State	22.5	26.2	27.5	21.2	2.6	21.9	37.4	27.3	13.3	0.1	
Asian			0.4	00.0	40.0	47.4	- 4	407		54.0		
	District	2.3	8.1	23.3	48.8	17.4	7.4	16.7	24.1	51.9	0.0	
	State	6.4	10.7	21.1	42.6	19.2	10.3	19.9	27.4	39.7	2.8	
	aiian/Pacific											
Islander	District											
	State	12.9	18.0	25.9	35.3	7.9	26.6	34.4	18.8	20.3	0.0	
American Ir						1.5	20.0	7.7	10.0	20.0	0.0	
American ii	District											
	State	24.4	21.6	24.6	23.5	5.9	25.6	35.9	25.9	12.6	0.0	
Two or Mor		0.0	20.4	12 5	40 F	12 5	15 4	24.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	
	District	0.0 17.0	32.4 19.3	13.5 24.3	40.5 29.8	13.5	15.4	34.6 29.4	30.8 27.2	19.2 19.8	0.0	
	State	17.0	19.5	24.3	29.0	9.6	23.1	29.4	21.Z	19.0	0.5	

High School - Limited-English-Proficient

		EL	A			Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
District	40.9	36.4	18.2	4.5	0.0	0.0	30.0	33.3	36.7	0.0	
State	52.8	30.9	13.1	3.0	0.2	32.9	45.8	16.5	4.7	0.1	

High School - Students with Disabilities

			EL	.Α			Mathematics				
	Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IEP	District	36.4	34.7	14.4	13.6	0.8	25.3	32.9	20.3	21.5	0.0
	State	52.8	27.7	13.7	5.4	0.5	43.2	41.2	11.8	3.7	0.1
Non-IEP	District	4.6	16.7	28.6	41.3	8.7	8.0	19.6	34.4	37.4	0.6
	State	14.6	20.6	26.7	30.7	7.3	18.9	31.7	29.1	19.8	0.5

High School - Economically Disadvantaged

		EL/	4				Mathe			
Levels	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	20.5	26.8	29.7	20.9	2.1	13.2	28.3	35.6	22.4	0.5
District State	26.6	26.9	25.6	18.7	2.2	25.9	38.5	24.7	10.8	0.1
Not Eligible										
District State	4.6 12.5	16.4 17.1	26.4 25.2	43.2 35.2	9.4 10.0	8.5 17.5	18.0 27.5	31.8 29.6	41.2 24.7	0.6 0.8

Dynamic Learning Maps - Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA)

the Essential Elements.

DLM results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling.1 Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four performance levels approved by the consortium:

Level 1	The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
Level 2	The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
Level 3	- The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at <i>target</i> .
Level 4	The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by

High School

High School - All

		EL	Α		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
District	42.9	14.3	28.6	14.3	64.3	21.4	14.3	0.0		
State	37.2	22.4	32.3	8.1	57.9	32.2	9.8	0.1		

		ELA				Mathematics			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	District State	38.5	22.1	30.8	8.7	57.1	32.2	10.5	0.1
Female	District State	34.7	23.0	35.2	7.1	59.4	32.2	8.4	0.0

High School - Racial/Ethnic Background

			ELA			Mathematics			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White									
	District								
	State	34.9	22.8	34.3	8.0	56.5	34.0	9.5	0.0
Black									
	District								
	State	37.7	22.5	30.4	9.4	58.0	33.6	8.4	0.0
Hispanic									
	District	20.0	00.0	00 F	0.7	50.7	00.0	44 7	0.0
	State	38.8	22.0	32.5	6.7	58.7	29.2	11.7	0.3
Asian	D : () (
	District	51.7	23.3	21.7	3.3	67.7	22.6	9.7	0.0
	State	31.7	23.3	21.7	3.3	07.7	22.0	9.7	0.0
Native Hav Islander	vaiian/Pacific								
Islanuel	District								
	State								
American	Indian								
	District								
	State								
Two or Mo									
	District								
	State	44.1	11.8	23.5	20.6	61.8	23.5	14.7	0.0

1